

From: Mikey Weinstein <Mikeyw4444@aol.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 1, 2008 12:07 AM
To: JD
Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....what is your full name?.....what is your full address?.....what is your home phone number?.....what is your cell number?.....what college did you graduate from?.....do you have a degree in Behavioral Science?.....you hide like a shameful coward in the shadows and fire your squirt gun water pistol from the relative safety of your current anonymity.....identify yourself now, cowboy up and prepare for a a different level of confrontation.....

On May 31, 2008, at 10:41 PM, JD wrote:

"the libel you've written about me and my family...ad hominem attacks on me and my family and our religious faith..."

It took me awhile, but I finally had an epiphany. The reason you lash out at others for things they don't do is *you see yourself in others*.

I've never said a thing about your family, though you have belittled mine.
I've never said a negative word about another religion, yet you have ridiculed mine.
I've never made an *ad hominem* attack on you, yet the 3000 words of email below are littered with yours against me.

You're not actually talking to *me*; you're talking to who you *think* I am. And you think I'm *just like you*. That's a classic case of psychological projection, a basic defense mechanism. That puts you in a whole new light...the implications for your actions and even your lawsuits are astounding.

Incidentally, when you list your impressive resume in your speeches, why don't you mention Electrosource?

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 4:52 PM
To: JD
Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....as you have MY contact info, now provide YOURS:.....your full name?.....your full address?.....your home phone number?.....your cell phone number??.....apparently repetitiveness is a necessary predicate to your understanding anything salient in this 51 month old conflict.....and forget a "next" time, "I'il christianfighterpilot", as we shant "parry" again until you disclose who you and how/where you may be contacted.....oh, and your further trivializing and making sport of my "extant family security matters" is quite duly noted.....

On May 10, 2008, at 5:29 PM, JD wrote:

It's not hard to know what you're going to say when you repeat yourself for, what is it now, 3 years (or "50 months")?

"if it wasn't a bit forboding in light of extant family security matters"
- You're the one who gives out your unsolicited personal info.

"there will be no further substantivve exchanges between us..."
- There hasn't been one up to the present, so why start now?

Until next we parry.

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 4:12 PM
To: JD
Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....wow, I'il "christian fighterpilot", you seem to be my Number One Fan!!.....would you like my autograph?!.....and inscribed book, maybe??!!.....I'd be so very flattered, if it wasn't a bit forboding in light of extant family security matters.....your prior expressed statements both trivializing and denigrating these matters are becoming FAR more understandable now.....in fact, you seem to know "all the lyrics to all the songs" as if you were a glossy-eyed Grateful Dead fan or pathetic Uma Thurman stalker.....in point of fact, you DO seem pretty obsessed with me, eh?.....(can't you find your own friends?!)......hmmmm, I wonder HOW obsessed??!!.....so do others, now!!....."Thankfully, I'm not the only one that recognizes that"!!.....speaking of "recognizing", until such time that you shed your despicable coward's hide, cowboy up and unveil your specific identity and contact information (as I have done for YOU), instead of "bravely" tapping your little keyboard from your "safe house" cloaked by the internet, there will be no further substantivve exchanges between us.....you have my germane data.....it is both rational and reasonable that you likewise provide yours now!!full name?.....full address??.....home phone number??.....cell phone number??.....and if you demur, state for the record WHY??!!??

On May 10, 2008, at 4:07 PM, JD wrote:

You know, as I recall, you said it was an act of "cowardice" when Haggard started distributing your email exchanges with him. Now I find you doing the same with mine. Not that I mind; I'm just bemused by the apparent hypocrisy. Turnabout is fair play, of course.

What I find most interesting is that people do actually see what you're after. You yourself have said that your intent is to get attention. Apparently, that's why you'd belittle historic and international tragedies, as well as crimes against humanity, by constantly using them as analogies in your war against Christians. Though you demean such events in the process, the shock that it provides generates the publicity you desire.

The problem is that your act has grown tired. Now everybody knows that you're going to talk about the fact you're a Republican, not from Northern California (waxing Seinfeldian), are only at war with a sect (which you're only going to say once tonight), have X generations of military, bought guns because of threats, and have intimate knowledge of Mary Kay and Starbucks business operations. They also know that if someone says, "hey, I heard this guy was evangelizing in the military," without skipping a beat (or checking on the facts of the story), you'll wax poetic about the pernicious and pervasive pattern and

practice etc, and then you'll say "please immediately note that this, too, will be added to our lawsuit, which is now bulging with multiple unsubstantiated accusations unrelated to the actual case..." It's kind of like watching a car wreck during a race. After a while, you kind of know what to expect and it doesn't get your attention anymore. Which is why you've realized you have to keep being one step more "shocking" to stay on the media's radar.

The sad part is that people actually seem to believe you, though as you yourself have noted, lots of people believe lots of things merely because someone stands up and says something.

I'm personally amazed at the free pass you've been given. If anyone else went on the air and said they were at war with [insert non-Christian religion here], they'd be roundly criticized as a hate-filled bigot. You, on the other hand, aren't. I wonder why that is. No other religion can be accused of planning a 21st century Holocaust, likened to Nazi war criminals, or equated with anti-American terrorists as freely you have done with Christianity.

You accused me of being a "dominionist" with no other information than my Christianity and my disagreement with you. You attack one religious group while others with similar actions you ignore. Your unsubstantiated assault on Christians, stereotypical accusations, calls for government discrimination against a faith group, and caustic hatred are characteristics of a man bent on much more than merely "religious freedom." Thankfully, I'm not the only one that recognizes that.

JD


www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 12:51 PM
To: JD
Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

..thx for being so informatively forthcoming with how to detect your sacrosanct "secret identity", I'il "christian fighterpilot".....see?!.....you're finally making some cognizable, though minscule, progress.....notwithstanding your slight improvement, I put YOU thru no such identity search drills.....ergo, plz extend to me the same courtesies.....indeed, plz render, as I have done for you so comprehensively, your full name??.....your precise mailing address?.....your home phone number and your cell number??.....and whilst at it, your American Cowards Assn. member number and your Girl Scout and/or Brownie troop number.....

On May 10, 2008, at 12:22 PM, JD wrote:

I'll bring you up to date. There are things called "phone books" and "the internet" that have a vast array of information on them. If you want that information, all you have to do is look it up.

Your powers of persuasion are pretty intense, though. You almost won me over with that "yellow" comment.

JD


www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]
Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 10:58 AM
To: JD
Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

..."No"?!?!.....why not?!?!.....why do you refuse to identify yourself as I have done to you??.....what do you fear, Lionheart?!.....why are you such a knee-quaking coward?!?!.....why do you cower at the protective skirts of anonymous Mother Internet?!?!.....why, WHY brave, I'il "christian fighterpilot"?!?!.....explain your bright yellow streak of unadulterated shame.....now, now, you CAN do this amazing act of courage.....just put on your BIG BOY PANTS and render to me now the very same info about ME which I've freely rendered to YOU.....DO IT!!.....

On May 10, 2008, at 11:46 AM, JD wrote:

"Fool?" Ah, I just flipped through some of our old correspondence and remembered you liked name-calling.

Justice O'Connor is irrelevant to our conversation. You have ~7000 Christian complaints, so you say. Sounds like a pretty sturdy base. Yet you sue on behalf of only the 4%. Why?

What libel? Libel implies falsehood or misrepresentation. I have done neither. And when have I ever even *mentioned* your family? You evidently have me confused with someone else.

"...keep your Constitution-hating, dominionist Christian eye..."

Now, now. There's nothing I've ever said or done to support that accusation. That would mean it is "an unfavorable opinion or feeling formed...without knowledge, thought, or reason." That's the definition of "prejudice," in case you didn't know.

"GIVE ME YOURS!!....NOW!!!....."

No.

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 10:15 AM

To: JD

Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....TRY READING WHAT I WRITE, FOOL!!.....I gave you the Sandra day O'Connor quote about numbers, I'il "christian fighterpilot".....what?!....you STILL just don't "get" it?!?!.....just keep your Constitution-hating, dominionist Christian eye on our litigation.....ohhhh, you'll see plenty of Constitutionally-loving Christians lining up to assist MRFF with mind, body heart and soul.....and if I want YOU in our lawsuit I do NOT have to sue you....(sheesh, did you ever take high school civics at all.....you're not a USAFA grad, eh?!....where did you go for ROTC??....OTS???.???).....though I've certainly considered that lawsuit alternative against you by the libel you've written about me and my family in the past and will most certainly consider it all over again by your likely and most ill-advised future defamations!!.....and I can merely subpoena YOU as a witness just as well as sue you in the instant Federal litigation, Perry Mason.....and I do NOT know your full name at this time at all?!.....I say again, plz pony up and IMMEDIATELY PROVIDE ME your full name, address, cell and home phone numbers.....YOU have MINE, I'il "christian fighterpilot", now the time has finally come for

YOU to likewise reciprocate and muster up the intestinal fortitude and courage to come out from behind your precious, protective internet curtain and GIVE ME YOURS!!.....NOW!!!.....STAND AND DELIVER, hero.....
On May 10, 2008, at 10:46 AM, JD wrote:

You're quick to spout off the same soundbites you always do. There wasn't a single thing in your reply that you haven't repeated over, and over, and over again in interviews. It's become so trite and repetitive that I could have written that stuff for you, though I would have missed the alliterative opportunities and dripping hatred. (I'm not nearly so poetic.) When I ask you a new question, you ignore it. I asked you why you only litigate on behalf of 4% of the people who you claim contact you and your reply is "all but 4% are Christian." Right. Try reading what I write.

Who said anything about liking anybody? And who said anything about anyone's family? *You're* the one attacking a religious faith group, not me (and *you* emphasized "attack"). (You're at war with Christianity, remember?) Tell me where I denigrated a religion (all the email traffic is still below). Amazing how you're so virulent in your attacks against a religion but are so sensitive yourself that you see "attacks" where none exist. Sounds strikingly similar to a school yard bully who lashes out at others because of his own personal insecurities.

So you had to advertise for plaintiffs, and now you're trying to troll the internet for witnesses? What, is this turning out to be a bit harder than you expected? And what makes you think I'd be relevant? Merely the fact that I'm a Christian in the military? I thought you said there was just a "small sect" you were at war with. Oh, wait. I forgot. You're actually at war with all Christians, as evidenced by the fact you paint me as the enemy without knowing a thing about me other than my religion and my profession. That other line is just for the press.

If you want me in your lawsuit, sue me. You managed to sue Welborn without knowing his name (nice work, by the way), and you already know mine.

JD


www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

Sent: Saturday, May 10, 2008 9:12 AM

To: JD

Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....look, little "christian fighterpilot", the TRUTH is that it's "personal between YOU and Christianity" and that it is YOU who's "using the Constitution as a crutch".....and I will not congratulate YOU on a "good job of completely ignoring any real questions or issues" because you do a TERRIBLE job of attempting to do same.....on the other hand, I WILL offer kudos on that tiny blog you apparently write your fundamenatlist Christian screed on as I hear you now have almost 9 readers!! ; well, 3, if you don't count your family members by blood and marriage and military subordinates who work for you.....the facts are, I'il "chistian fighterpilot", that we now have a tad under 8,000 (not 10,000, Jack) MRFF clients.....all, save for approx. 4% are, in point of fact, Christians; about 75% of whom are Protestants, the remainder Roman Catholic.....(some are even military chaplains; all Christians I might add as well).....perhaps they would NOT meet your personal "religion test" of being "Christian enough" for your liking, eh??!! (see Clause 3, Article 6 of the United States Constitution.....you know, that little "piece of

paper" you swore an oath to support, serve, protect and defend and which you probably know as much about as you do the Danish line of royalty??!!).....but the numbers shouldn't matter anyway, Champ....as Sandra day O'Connor so splendidly put it, "We do NOT (emphasis added) count heads before enforcing the First Amendment in the United States of America"!.....and we DO have a number of evangelical Christian clients and supporters....one is actually a "semi-celebrity".....half of my own family is Christian and in that half we have evangelical Christians whose last NAME is "Christian" and we all get along lovingly and have for three and half decades, sport.....our MRFF Board and Advisory Board includes wonderful people from ALL walks of life and a plethora of religious faiths; Protestant, Catholic, Jewish, Hindu, Islamic, et al.....we have combat veterans, former Generals, an Ambassador a Nobel Peace Prize winner and a 3 term Governor from Colorado and many other luminarieswe at MRFF focus entirely on ONLY stopping the abject attempt by the U.S. military command structure to use the draconian spectre of command influence to unconstitutionally FORCE, via the unlawful engaging of the awesome power, prestige and financial heft of the United States, their personal religious views on otherwise helpless military subordinates.....at the current time, the overwhelming majority of that noxious religious predation comes ONLY from one sorry source within the United States military; to wit, premillennial, dispensational, reconstructionist, DOMINIONIST, fundamenatlist, evangelical Christianity.....as soon as these heinous Dominionist Christians are joined by ANY of the other roughly 10,000 other recognized world religious faiths in becoming religious predators and, thus, likewise raping the United States Constitution, you can be sure that MRFF will be there to attack them as well.....and I DO mean attack!!.....I couldn't care LESS whether you like me or MRFF....if you've got a problem, I've got simple words 5 words to say to you, Jack: "Tell it to the judge"!.....we've put our money and veracity where our mouths are; in Federal Court.....would love to consider calling you as a relevant witness for our plaintiffs side in the litigation.....after first determining your bona fides of course....you know mine: my name is Michael L. Weinstein....my address is 1190 Laurel Loop, NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico, 87122.....my cell is 505-250-7727.....my home is 505-821-7432....you seem to be quite brave in your constant ad hominem attacks on me and my family and our religious faith whilst cowardly hiding behind the relative safety of the anonymity of the internet.....are you courageous enough to give me your complete name, address and home and cell numbers as well??!!.....pony up, "christian fighterpilot".....

On May 10, 2008, at 7:44 AM, JD wrote:

Then why do you refuse to engage all religions equally? Why have you said *nothing* about the "Chai Force" T-shirts or the official Islamic religious symbolism in the Iraqi Campaign Medal? You choose to talk about, and "prosecute," only one religion, even though other religions engage in precisely the same things you accuse Christians of. By doing so, you reveal a bias. You use words of extreme and vitriolic hate, and address them to only one religion. If anyone did the same thing toward the Jewish faith, they'd be a bigoted anti-Semite. Toward the Islamic, they'd be "Islamophobes." When done toward the Christian faith, it's somehow acceptable.

It's not the Constitution. This is personal; it's between you and Christianity. You're just using the Constitution as a crutch, and you're using Jeremy Hall as a hapless pawn. That's pathetic.

Good job of completely ignoring any real questions or issues, by the way.

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 10:15 PM

To: JD

Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

....by the by, "christian fighterpilot", I've given interviews to Focus on the Family and FOX Noise more times than I can remember.....and it's NOT "Christian symbolism" in the military that is the crux of our battle but the engaging of the State via unconstitutional fundamentalist christian usage of the military command structure to pursue the Great Commission that we fight.....it's the Constitution, Einstein.....it's as simple as that.....

On May 9, 2008, at 11:04 PM, JD wrote:

I wondered how long it would be before I received a Weinstein alliterative appellation.

I never claimed to decide good or bad, though you do in your interviews and in your lawsuits. I'm curious. If you have what, 10,000 "contacts" now, 96% of which are from Christians, why have your lawsuits only represented the other 4%?

This is my favorite one, though: If a Christian group had a "GI Jesus" sticker for sale on their website, you'd pontificate about the rape of the Constitution, etc, etc. But for the site that has a "GI Jew" sticker in its store, you didn't sue them—you gave them an interview. Nice. Looks like selective outrage (that's putting it nicely, of course). You're upset about Christian symbolism in the military upsetting our adversaries; I'm sure glad they're not bothered by Jewish symbolism.

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 9:53 PM

To: JD

Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....oh, what a hypocrite you are!!....you exude brazen coercion by the very supremacist/exclusionary name you triumphantly crown yourself with....."problem" you say??.....you're the Prince of Problems.....y know, Champ, you'd do well to internalize that old adage.. "there are 2 type of people in the world; the good and the bad....and the good get to decide which is which".....thank God, we all have "christianfighterpilot" to serve as our "good vs. bad" determinator.....because he's just so "good"!!.....er....uhhh....well, beCAUSE he says he' a "chirstian fighter pilot".....?!!?!!.....may I humbly recommend a good 12 step program for you to consider?....."Military/Religious Self-Aggrandizers Anonymous"....."Hello everyone...I'm JD...and I'm a military/religious self-aggrandizer...".....food for thought.....you embarass yourself, sport.....

On May 9, 2008, at 10:13 PM, JD wrote:

There was no attempt at convincing. It was merely a statement of observation. You see persuasion ("coercion") where none exists; but you've had that problem for a few years now.

JD

www.ChristianFighterpilot.com/blog

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 8:57 PM

To: JD

Cc: 'Marsha

gazette.com

Subject: Re: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

.....kudos!!.....your e-mail address reflecting "christianfighterpilot.com" is most certainly "touching" and "right on" and, thus, evinces a quintessential formidable magnitude of the "American openmindedness" you were trying to convince us all of, eh??!!.....yeah right.....

On May 9, 2008, at 9:37 PM, JD wrote:

Just like I'm sure we'd all agree that *Expelled* isn't biased either, right? Funny how the shows you agree with are "touching" and "right on," but those you disagree with are "biased, hate-filled propoganda." American openmindedness, I suppose.

JD

From: Mikey Weinstein [<mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com>]

....thx for that report, Marsha.....this is Mikey Weinstein....I would LOVE to face down your "friend's friend" about what is and what is not "biased".....be well and plz enjoy the weekend.....MIkey

On May 9, 2008, at 9:12 PM, Marsha [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] & I just saw it at the 5:45 showing this evening. Heavy stuff. Ran into a friend downtown & when we told her the subject of "Constantine's Sword," my friend's FRIEND said sarcastically, "I'm SURE it wasn't biased." Having just met the woman, we didn't get "into it" with her... but Yikes, how firmly-entrenched people are in their prejudices. The facts and the interviews speak pretty loudly.

I'll wait until more see it before I comment further.

Marsha

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, May 09, 2008 8:06 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] [@aol.com](mailto:Mikeyw4444@aol.com); zette.com; Mikeyw4444@aol.com

Subject: Fwd: My attendance of "Constantine's Sword."

In a message dated 5/9/2008 7:34:19 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time, RichardBk8 writes:

For our local subscribers:

I will be attending the 3:30 PM showing of Constantine's Sword tomorrow, Sunday, May 10, at the Kimball Theaters in downtown Colorado Springs.

Warning: The above is a typo brought about by my medications. Opium is a medication, isn't it?

Actually, I meant I will be seeing Constantine's Sword at 3:30 PM on SATURDAY May 10, 2008.

Sorry for those of you who rushed to buy tickets to be with me, Sunday.

Rick

Wondering what's for Dinner Tonight? [Get new twists on family favorites at AOL Food.](#)

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=

=